The Four Mentors of King Charles – Paedophiles R US

Thu 3:26 pm +00:00, 13 Nov 2025

posted by pete fairhurst 2



Source: https://www.craigmurray.org.uk/archives/2025/11/the-four-mentors-of-king-charles/

As Godfather to Prince William, heir to the British throne, Prince Charles chose his close friend and adviser Laurens van der Post. A paedophile.

Van der Post raped a 14 year old girl who had been given into his care for the sea voyage from South Africa to London. He then installed her in a flat in London as his mistress, but abandoned her when she became pregnant age 15 (though he sent a monthly payment). She was not the only one. The victim later stated that van der Post was “sick” and “he knew how to pick his victims”.

Search the Tap

Search ... Search

Ads and Supporters

WordPress Websites

We provide WordPress setup, development, fixing and support plus remote online WordPress coaching. We are also specialists in Ecommerce and Shopping Cart systems.

www.ecommerce-help.co.uk

www.ecommerce-help.co.uk/wordpress-services

Please Support The Tap

PayPal Donate

Donate now

100% Secure Donation

Submit News



In a sycophantic authorised biography of then-Prince Charles written thirty years ago, Jonathan Dimbleby wrote that “for Prince Charles there was a missing dimension”, that he felt his life lacked a spiritual awareness. At age 25 Charles sought out Van der Post after reading his books, and Van der Post became his spiritual Guru. Charles continually sought his advice and absorbed his mystic teachings. Not only is Van der Post William’s Godfather, he gave marriage counselling to Charles and Diana and was a frequent guest at Highgrove, Sandringham and Balmoral. On his death Charles initiated the Van der Post Memorial Lectures, held inside St James’s Palace.

There is a question which will run throughout this article, which is how much did people know? In the 1970s and 1980s it was not public knowledge that Van der Post was a paedophile. But then Charles was not the public. Then, as now, if somebody becomes very close to the heir to the throne with frequent access to Royal palaces, they are going to be under close investigation by the security services.

I find it wildly improbable that the security services did not find out about Van der Post’s predilection for young girls and that he had been paying the expenses of an illegitimate daughter originally fathered on a young teenage mother. There is also the question of Van der Post’s wider lies. It is possibly neither here nor there that in fact Van der Post had only ever spent a fortnight with The Bushmen of the Kalahari when he penned his famous book, full of lies and plagiarism.

But that he was actually a Lieutenant (and at times acting Captain) rather than a Lieutenant Colonel as he claimed, would have been instantly discovered. It is worth noting here that Van der Post’s famous military memoir, which became the film *Merry Christmas, Mr Lawrence* starring David Bowie, was massively embellished, not just in terms of his rank.

The Royalist defence of Charles’ associations rests, rather peculiarly, on the claim that any huckster and paedophile can just get entry to the Palace inner circle without any checks. That is just not true. What appears to be true is that paedophilia was treated as a peccadillo.

Before Van der Post, the man credited by all biographers as the greatest influence in shaping Charles’ character was his great uncle, Lord Louis Mountbatten. Born in Austria as Prince Louis of Battenberg, Charles can hardly be blamed for Mountbatten, who was thrust upon him as a child.

I hope not too literally.

Buy the Tap a Coffee

The Tap relies on the support of the readers. If you like the Tap and want to buy us a coffee we would be most grateful.



Newsletter

The Tap Newsletter

Get the latest posts daily

Email Address

I'm not a robot



Mountbatten was a paedophile, which was an open secret in upper class society – including the diplomatic service – long before his death. He benefited from the lifetime protection of the inner Royal circle, which was absolute in his lifetime. It has only become mainstream acknowledged in the past very few years.

That is deliberately phrased as “acknowledged”, not “knowledge” – there was not a Fleet Street Editor in 50 years who did not know; they just did not publish it. Mountbatten’s paedophilia was fuelled by his access to underprivileged children, from New Delhi to Rabat to Kincora Boy’s Home.

Mountbatten spent more time with Charles in his childhood and early adulthood than Charles’ own parents did, including encouraging and coaching him to have as much sex with as many “non-marriageable” girls as possible, and providing a venue for it in his homes. After he died Charles said, “Life will never be the same now that he is gone”. It is not a stretch to think that Van der Post – whom he first met four years before Mountbatten’s death – filled the emotional void.

A 1944 FBI dossier described Mountbatten as “a homosexual with a perversion for small boys”. This was two years before his appointment as Viceroy of India, where the open debauchery of the Mountbattens was an open secret in high-level Indian society.

It is worth noting that in this period his military aide-de-camp was one Willie McRae. I have always believed that the murder of McRae by the British state was related to his knowledge of Mountbatten and elite paedophile rings: in this context McRae’s ties with Irish Nationalists may be relevant, as they assassinated Mountbatten over the abuse at Kincora.

In Mountbatten’s case there is no doubt at all that the security services knew all about his paedophile, and covered for him.

So at the death of van der Post in 1996, Charles had lost two men he viewed, exclusively, as guides and spiritual mentors, and from whom he took the most intimate personal device. There is nobody else who fits this description. Both were extremely vicious and calculating paedophiles, shielded by class privilege from the consequences. So, in 1996, to whom did Charles turn as his new “mentor”?

Subscribe Now

About the Tap

The Tap is and independent blog run and managed by Alternative View Media. A UK based events production team dedicated to fakery exposure, and searching for alternative truths.

If you like what we do please come along to one of our events or let us manage and produce your own event.

Events: www.alternativeview.co.uk

Event Production: www.alternativeviewmedia.co.uk

Buy us a Ko-fi

Buy a Ko-Fi for Alternative View Media

One time Monthly

£5 each - 1 +

£ 5

Your name or nickname

Your message

Tip £5

Powered by Ko-fi (https://ko-fi.com?ref=poweredby)

Events in the UK

No events are found.

Latest Comments

pete fairhurst 2 on Lookalikes, fake persona and joinder – Chris Spivey

libertineblackpool on Lookalikes, fake persona and joinder – Chris Spivey

John on Lookalikes, fake persona and joinder – Chris Spivey

pete fairhurst 2 on Way to go

John on How UK blew £1 trillion of the

Jimmy Savile was introduced to a 17-year-old Charles in 1966 by Mountbatten, who vouched for him. The official story is that Mountbatten had met Savile through military veteran fundraising.

You can believe that was the primary shared interest of two prolific paedophiles, if you so please.

Savile cultivated the relationship long-term, and by the 1980s was corresponding assiduously with Charles, which continued for over 20 years. Savile was yet another person to whom Charles turned for marriage counselling. In scores of letters, it is always Charles seeking Savile's advice and adulating him. There is no record of Charles using the word "mentor" to describe his relationship with Savile, but Diana literally stated that Savile was a "sort of mentor" to Charles.

I presume I do not have to explain that Savile was throughout this period one of the most prolific paedophiles in British history. It is widely believed the royal cachet helped to protect him from prosecution. A huge amount was known to the police, to BBC managers and to various other branches of the British establishment, but Savile was untouchable.

In 2000 Charles constructed a chapel at his home at Highgrove, and a stained glass window in it commemorates Laurens van der Post. Before that window, Charles kneeled for long prayer vigils with his new spiritual guide, Bishop Peter Ball – who was also a friend of Jimmy Savile. It was Savile who introduced Ball to Charles.

Rather like Epstein, Ball was a known paedophile who had got off the first time without incarceration. He had, in 1993, accepted a police caution for a ceremony in which he had forced a 17-year-old novitiate, Neil Todd, to kneel naked in the snow for hours, whipped him, and then forced him to perform a sex act. The police also investigated at that time numerous other allegations, including two very similar ones.

The decision to caution was taken on the advice of the Crown Prosecution Service. As the Independent Inquiry into Child Abuse Report 2022 primly noted (p.378):

The first report on the Anglican Church investigation – The Anglican Church Case Studies 1. The Diocese of

pete fairhurst 2 on How UK blew £1 trillion of the people's money

Belyi on Putin Secretly Helped Little Hat Israeli Patriots Take Out Hezbollah Deep State Satan Globalists!

Links and Ads

The Tap is a free resource. To help keep it free please visit our advertisers and/or consider a small donation.

ESD Event Services Directory
Event production, management and promotion businesses

WordPress Services

We provide WordPress setup, development, fixing and support plus remote online WordPress coaching. We are also specialists in Ecommerce and Shopping Cart systems.

WORDPRESS

www.ecommerce-help.co.uk

www.ecommerce-help.co.uk/wordpress-services

> *It considered the Diocese of Chichester, where there were multiple allegations of child sexual abuse, and whether there were inappropriate attempts by people of prominence to interfere in the criminal justice process after Bishop Peter Ball was first accused of child sexual offences.*

I cannot, though, identify the passage referred to of the Diocese of Chichester Report.

Yet immediately after this, and for the next 17 years, Charles provided Ball with rather splendid rent-free accommodation on Charles' estate. Ball was suspended by the Church of England as a priest and, astonishingly, Charles asked him to officiate at services and perform the Eucharist at his personal chapel in Highgrove, as reported in the Church Times. Ball was frequently in his company and was a personal guest at Charles' 2005 wedding to Camilla.

In 2015, Charles gifted Ball £20,000. This was said to be simply a friendly gesture – exactly why is unclear. Charles is very definitely not known for personal generosity.

In 2015, Bishop Ball was finally convicted of 12 horrific instances of sexual abuse of boys and young men, all under the guise of religious ritual. Prince Charles put out a public denial that he had interfered in the 1993 decision not to prosecute. My surmise is that he had not done so directly, but rather let it be known through others. That is how it works.

The BBC actually reported that:

> *Ball's court case heard that a member of the royal family – who has never been named – was among a host of public figures who supported him when he avoided charges in 1993.*

The article goes on to carry this extremely over-specific and narrow denial from the Crown Prosecution Service:

> *The Crown Prosecution Service has publicly stated that it had neither received nor seen any correspondence from a member of the Royal Family when Ball was under investigation in 1992–93.*

Note this very deliberately does not rule out a word in the ear at a function, a phone call, or – as it would be done – getting a friend known to be close to Charles to give the message.

Charles in fact in 1997, two years after his police caution, told Ball that he would directly intervene against Ball victim Neil Todd. "I will see off this horrible man if he tries anything again," Charles wrote to Ball.

Todd did not live to see Ball ultimately convicted. He committed suicide in 2012. This was convenient for Ball, but there were plenty of other victims who testified in 2015.

I have no doubt the Royal Family will have known about Uncle Louis's sins – he had an official entourage and was plugged in to the system. The immediate civil servants and close protection officers always know everything. I have already explained why I do not believe van der Post's paedophilia was unknown. That goes double for Savile – about whom authorities had a huge amount of knowledge, but whose royal connections were a key part of his protection.

While there is no doubt whatsoever Charles knew about Bishop Peter Ball, Ball's royal circle protection appears to have broken the surface.

To the best of my knowledge and belief, I do not know any paedophiles – but none of us can be absolutely certain we do not. Of one thing, however, I feel extremely confident. The four most-valued advisers in my life, the people whose advice I have most craved and to whom I have turned in times of crisis, are not all paedophiles. I should be astonished if any of them were.

You just can't have your four closest non-official life guides as paedophiles by accident. You just can't. It has been put to me that Charles, by nature of his role, knows vastly more people than ordinary folk. That may or may not be true (there is a counter-argument about privilege and protection). But if it were true, it does not improve things. If there is a much larger-than-normal pool from whom Charles could have chosen, it makes it even weirder he chose four prolific paedophiles.

To be clear, prolific paedophilia is extremely abnormal behaviour.

What I do not understand is why paedophilia appears so prevalent and attractive to politicians and the ruling class. People who have much more power and wealth than the rest of us, have the ability (rightly or wrongly) to get attractive adult consenting partners more easily. So why do they, apparently in disproportionate numbers, seek to prey on the young and defenceless?

It is more than time we got rid of the Medieval system of monarchy. That will not solve the corruption of corporate interests controlling the state, or redress the appalling inequality of wealth. It will not even do much to end elite class paedophilia. But as one clear demonstration of the rotten nature of British society, the tale of the King's four paedophile mentors is extremely instructive.

Share this

Post Views: 7

LEAVE A REPLY

You must be logged in to post a comment.



Uncovering the truth with ...

gary
of the house of fraughen
The New World Order Past and Present and the Adepts



Gary Fraughen New Book Now Available

Your reality of history is an illusion.
This work explores the history of the New World Order
which has arrived on a sea of contaminated blood.

www.garyfraughen.co.uk

New Online Lectures from Pierre Sabak

In this new series of online lectures Pierre Sabak takes a deep dive into Alien Abductions, Language and Memory.

Get Instant Access

To access the Lecture please choose the duration, click the **BUY NOW** button on the video player and purchase a ticket. Once you have made your purchase, you will be sent an automatic email confirmation with your access code details. This will give you unlimited access 24/7 to the recordings during your viewing period. You can watch the presentations on this page. Important: Please check your spam folder after your purchase, as sometimes the confirmations go to spam. If you don't receive your code within 15 mins, please contact us. You can access the lecture as soon as you receive your access code, which typically arrives in minutes. If you have any problems or questions about entering your password and accessing the videos, we have a help page. Secure Payment: Payment is taken securely by Stripe or PayPal. If you experience problems, please contact Pierre.

Watch on Pierre's Website

You can also watch the lecture on www.pierresabak.com

Loading

This site is intended as an informational guide. The remedies approaches and techniques described hearin are meant to supplement, and not be a substitute for, professional, medical care or treatments. Any information is for entertainment purposes only. Any previous articles which prefix the **8th of February 2023** have no involvement in new upload to this site. Any Copy right infringements are not intended and any such should be made aware to the site for immediate withdraw. Articles posted here are for your consideration at your discretion. No purported facts have been verified. Articles do not necessarily reflect the views of the poster nor the site owner.

Blog editor - editor[at]tapnewswire.com